25	Case3:10-cv-01095-MHP Docu	ment1 Filed03/15/10	Page1 of 6
1 2 3 4 5 6	Kevin J. McInerney, Esq. (46941) kevin@mcinerneylaw.net Kelly McInerney, Esq. (200017) kelly@mcinerneylaw.net Charles A. Jones, Esq. (224915) caj@mcinerneylaw.net McInerney & Jones 18124 Wedge Parkway #503 Reno, NV 89511 Telephone: (775) 849-3811 Facsimile: (775) 849-3866		FOR MARY 15 STATES OF THE STAT
7 8 9 10 11	James F. Clapp, Esq. (145814) jclapp@sdlaw.com Marita Murphy Lauinger, Esq. (19924 mlauinger@sdlaw.com Zachariah P. Dostart, Esq. (255071) zdostart@sdlaw.com DOSTART CLAPP GORDON & COVENEY 4370 La Jolla Village Drive, Suite 970 San Diego, CA 92122 Telephone: (858) 623-4200	, LLP	Mir
12 13	Facsimile: (858) 623-4299  Attorneys for Plaintiff	E-filing	dm
14 15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16 17	WALT NALLY,	Civil Case No.	1095
18 19	Plaintiff, v.	Filed as a Tag-Al No. 06-1770 Ass Honorable Maril	
20	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,	COMPLAINT I	OR:
21	Defendant.	1.) Violation of Standards A	the Fair Labor ct (29 U.S.C. §207);
22			California Labor Code
23		3.) Violation of	California Business and Code §17200, et seq.
24			31,200,01304.
25			
26			
27			
28			<u>-</u>

#### 

# 

#### 

### 

### 

#### I. THE PARTIES

- 1. The Plaintiff, Walt Nally, is and at all times relevant has been a resident and citizen of the State of California. Between approximately August 2001 and June 2005, the Plaintiff was employed by the Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as a Home Mortgage Consultant ("HMC"). Plaintiff worked at a Defendant's office located in the Westwood area of Los Angeles, California.
- 2. The Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. is a business entity incorporated in a state other than California and headquartered in San Francisco, California. The Defendant operates, as a separate division, an entity headquartered outside the State of California which sells and originates home mortgage loans. At all times relevant, the Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (hereinafter "Wells") employed the Plaintiff in the State of California as a Home Mortgage Consultant to originate and produce mortgage loans.
- 3. Since February 11, 2005, the Plaintiff was a putative class member in an action entitled *Mevorah v. Wells Fargo*, Case No. 3:05-cv-01175-MHP, which action subsequently became a part of MDL Coordination Proceeding No. M:06-cv-01770-MHP. Class certification in *Mevorah* was denied by the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel on January 13, 2010. Plaintiff asserts that during the pendency of the *Mevorah* action as a class suit, the statute of limitations was tolled.

### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. The federal court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Title 28, U.S.C.

Section 1331 because a violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act (Title 29, U.S.C. Section 207) is alleged. The federal court also has jurisdiction under Title 28, U.S.C. Section 1332 because the Plaintiff is a citizen of California and the Defendant is a citizen of a state other than California

 and the amount sought to be recovered by the Plaintiff exceeds \$75,000 exclusive of interest and costs.

5. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California because this action is filed as a tag-along action to MDL No. M:06-cv-01770-MHP, *In re Wells Fargo Home Mortgage Consultant Overtime Litigation*. The Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel is the judge assigned to this MDL proceeding. Venue is also proper in the Northern District of California because the Defendant is headquartered in this district.

#### III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 6. The Plaintiff was employed by the Defendant as an HMC in California between approximately August 2001 and June 2005. During this period of time, the Plaintiff worked at an office leased by Wells in the Westwood area of Los Angeles.
- 7. While employed as an HMC, the Plaintiff was engaged in originating and producing home loans. His primary role was selling and this was performed from the Wells office.
- 8. The Defendant compensated the Plaintiff on a commission sales basis and did not monitor the hours or activities of the Plaintiff. The Defendant paid the Plaintiff based upon loans that actually closed and recorded. Defendant never paid the Plaintiff any overtime or premium pay despite the fact that the Plaintiff routinely worked in excess of eight hours per day and in excess of forty (40) hours per week. Rather, the Defendant classified the Plaintiff as overtime exempt.
- 9. The Plaintiff was, in fact, at all times relevant a non-exempt employee under both the Fair Labor Standards Act and the California Labor Code. Defendant's misclassification and failure to pay Plaintiff overtime was willful because Wells never monitored his actual work activities to determine whether he was an exempt worker.

10. The amount of overtime pay that the Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff is in excess of \$75,000 under the FLSA and even more under the California Labor Code.

## IV. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (Failure to Pay Overtime)

- 11. Plaintiff re-alleges the preceding paragraphs of this complaint and hereby incorporates them by reference.
- 12. The Defendant improperly and unlawfully treated the Plaintiff during his employment between approximately August 2001 and June 2005 as a worker exempt from the protection of the overtime laws and particularly the overtime protection provided by the Fair Labor Standards Act, Title 29, U.S.C. Section 207. The Defendant failed to pay the Plaintiff overtime wages as required and is therefore liable to him for all such sums including attorneys' fees and costs. Because the Defendant's failure was willful in that it made no effort to monitor the actual activities or hours worked, the Defendant is liable for liquidated damages or, alternatively, for interest on the unpaid overtime wages.

## V. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA LABOR CODE §§510 AND 1194 (Failure to Pay Overtime)

- 13. Plaintiff re-alleges the preceding paragraphs of this complaint and hereby incorporates them by reference.
- 14. Defendant's misclassification of the Plaintiff as overtime exempt between 2001 and 2005 was unlawful because it was in violation of the California Labor Code §§510 and 1194. Defendant is therefore liable to the Plaintiff for overtime wages, interest thereon, costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees.

## VI. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF THE UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES ACT, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §17200, ET SEQ.

- 15. Plaintiff re-alleges the preceding paragraphs of this complaint and hereby incorporates them by reference.
- 16. The Defendant's failure to pay overtime as mandated by the Fair Labor Standards Act and the Defendant's failure to pay overtime as required by the California Labor Code constituted unlawful and unfair business practices. These failures to pay overtime also constituted an attempt by the Defendant to gain unfair competitive advantage over other lenders because the Defendant misclassified all its Home Mortgage Consultants as overtime exempt and paid none of them the overtime or premium pay required under the law.
- 17. Accordingly, Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff for restitution of all overtime pay with interest thereon and costs.

#### **PRAYER**

Wherefore, the Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- For overtime or premium pay as calculated under the Fair Labor Standards Act plus liquidated damages thereon or, alternatively, interest thereon;
- For payment of overtime wages as calculated under the California Labor Code with interest thereon, costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees;
- For restitution of all overtime wages due Plaintiff under the California Unfair Business Practices Act with interest thereon and costs; and

-4-

### Case3:10-cv-01095-MHP Document1 Filed03/15/10 Page6 of 6

1	4. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem			
2	appropriate.			
3				
4	Dated: March 12, 2010 Respectfully submitted,			
5				
6	Dostart Clapp Gordon & Coveney LL	P		
7	7			
8	By: Kelly Meinerney			
9	Refly Welleriney			
10				
11	1			
12				
13	3			
14	4			
15	5			
16	5			
17	7			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	8			